

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
                                )  
Plaintiff,                 )  
                                )  
v.                             )                           **09-CR-30167-WDS**  
                                )  
                                )  
CODY GRANTHAM,             )  
                                )  
Defendant,                 )

**MEMORANDUM & ORDER**

**STIEHL, District Judge:**

On March 22, 2010, the United States of America and defendant Cody Grantham consented to appear before Magistrate Judge Clifford J. Proud pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 and SDIL Rule 72.1(b)(2). At that time, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the Indictment.

On March 22, 2010, Judge Proud submitted a Report recommending that the undersigned District Judge accept the plea of guilty on Count 1 of the Indictment, that a pre-sentence investigation and report be prepared, and that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty and have sentence imposed accordingly (Doc. 27).

The Report was sent to the parties along with a “NOTICE” informing them of their right to appeal by way of filing “Objections” within ten days of service of the Report and Recommendation. Neither party has filed an objection, therefore, pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 636(b)**, this Court need not conduct *de novo* review. ***Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149-52 (1985); Video Views Inc. v. Studio 21, Ltd., 797 F.2d 538 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986).***

Accordingly, the undersigned District Judge **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Proud’s Report

and Recommendation (Doc. 27), **ACCEPTS** defendant Cody Grantham's plea of guilty to Count 1, and **ADJUDGES** defendant Cody Grantham guilty on Count 1 of the Indictment.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATE:** 20 July, 2010

s/ WILLIAM D. STIEHL

**District Judge**